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Abstract. We prove a Central Limit Theorem for the finite dimensional distributions of the displacement for the 1-dimensional self-repelling diffusion which solves

\[ dX_t = dB_t - (G'(X_t)) + \int_0^t F'(X_t - X_s)ds \, dt, \]

where \( B \) is a real valued standard Brownian motion, \( G \) is an initial environment and \( F(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos(kx) \) with \( n < \infty \) and \( a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0 \). A 2-dimensional extension is also discussed.

In dimension \( d \geq 3 \), such a result has already been established by Horváth, Tóth and Vető in 2012 for a large class of interaction functions \( F \), but not for \( d = 1, 2 \). Under an integrability condition, Tarrès, Tóth and Valkó conjectured that a Central Limit Theorem result should also hold in dimension \( d = 1 \).

1. Introduction

In this short note, our main goal is to prove the Central Limit Theorem (denoted by CLT in the sequel) for the finite dimensional distribution of the displacement for the one-dimensional self-repelling diffusion solving

\[ dX_t = dB_t - (G'(X_t)) + \int_0^t F'(X_t - X_s)ds \, dt, \quad X_0 = 0, \]

where \( B \) is a real valued standard Brownian motion, \( G(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (u_k \cos(kx) + v_k \sin(kx)) \) and \( F(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos(kx) \) with \( n < \infty \) and \( a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0 \). The function \( G \) provides the initial environment of the particle and \( F \) is the interaction function.
Roughly speaking, *self-repelling diffusions* (as considered here) are time continuous stochastic processes which solve an inhomogeneous stochastic differential equation whose drift part is evolving in time according to the whole past history of the process in such a way that it tends to push the diffusing particle away from the most visited sites.

Under the assumptions made on $F$ and $G$, the Law of Large Number has already been established in Benaïm and Gauthier (2017, Theorem 2 and Remark 1), namely

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{X_t}{t} = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

A question that one may then ask is whether or not a CLT result holds. The purpose of this note is to provide a positive answer to it (see Theorem 2.1).

The question whether or not a CLT result could be established for self-repelling diffusions was first investigated in 2012 by Tarrès, Tóth and Valkó (Tarrès et al., 2012, Theorem 2 and its remark) and shortly later Horváth, Tóth and Vető were able to prove in 2012 a full CLT result (Horváth et al., 2012, Theorem 2) in dimensions $d \geq 3$. In both papers, the authors consider a self-repelling diffusion that solves

$$dX_t = dB_t - (\nabla G(X_t) + \int_0^t \nabla F(X_t - X_s) ds) dt,$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *smooth spherically symmetric function with non-negative Fourier transform* and some additional technical assumptions that be found in the respective papers.

Under those conditions, they proved that the process $t \mapsto \eta_t$ defined by

$$\eta_t(x) = \nabla G(x + X_t) + \int_0^t \nabla F(x + X_t - X_s) ds$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Markov process with almost-surely continuous sample path in a suitable chosen *infinite dimensional function space* and admits a Gaussian distribution as stationary and ergodic distribution. This allowed the authors to prove that a Law of Large Numbers for $X_t$ holds.

The authors were also able to prove from the so-called *Yaglom-reversibility* and $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$ estimates that

$$d \leq \liminf_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_t|^2) \leq \limsup_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_t|^2) \leq d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\hat{F}(p)}{|p|^2} dp.$$

When

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\hat{F}(p)}{|p|^2} dp < \infty,$$

a diffusive scaling, and eventually a CLT for $X_t/\sqrt{t}$ is naturally expected. In dimension $d \geq 3$, Horváth, Tóth and Vető proved in Horváth et al. (2012) that $\liminf_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_t|^2) = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}(|X_t|^2)$ and established the full CLT by checking that the *graded sector conditions* held. This allowed them to use the Kipnis-Varadhan’s CLT result for additive functionals.

Due to technical obstructions, they were not able to extend lower dimensions. Therefore, in dimension $d = 1, 2$, the question of a CLT result remained open. Theorem 2.1 below fills the gap for particular 1-dimensional cases, whereas Theorem 3.1 fills the gap for particular 2-dimensional cases.
Before turning to the presentation of the result in dimension one, let us briefly make a link between the positiveness condition of the Fourier transform of $F$ and the positiveness of the coefficients $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. Let $b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a fast decaying function such that its Fourier transform is non-negative and let

$$
\varphi_{2\pi}(b)(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b(x + 2\pi n)
$$

be the $2\pi$-periodization transform of $b$. It is an exercise in Fourier analysis to show that

$$
\varphi_{2\pi}(b)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{b}\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right) e^{ikx}.
$$

Because $b$ is even, we have

$$
\varphi_{2\pi}(b)(x) = \frac{\hat{b}(0)}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k \geq 1} \hat{b}\left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right) \cos(kx).
$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state the CLT result and present the tools and concepts involved for the one-dimensional case and end the section with the proof of the CLT. The two-dimensional case will be discussed in Section 3. Because straightforward adaptations of the 1-dimensional case (but with more cumbersome computations) gives the 2-dimensional case once the right change of variable is made, we will only present the changes of variable that need to done in order to be in the same framework as for the one dimensional case.

2. The one-dimensional case

The purpose of this section is to prove the following.

**Theorem 2.1.**

Let $(X_t)_t$ be the solution of (1.1). Then:

1. $\sigma^2 \coloneqq \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(X_t^2)}{t}$ exists and it satisfies

   \[ 1 \leq \sigma^2 \leq 1 + 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j^2 \right). \]

   (2.1)

2. For any $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \infty$, we have

   \[ \left( \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}X_{t_1}/\sigma}{\varepsilon}, \ldots, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}X_{t_n}/\sigma}{\varepsilon} \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( W_{t_1}, \ldots, W_{t_n} \right) \]

   under $\mathbb{P}_\pi$, where $W$ is a real valued standard Brownian motion. Here $\pi$ is the probability measure over $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by (2.7) and $\xrightarrow{}$ denotes the convergence in distribution.

Following the same idea as in Benaim and Gauthier (2017), set $U_j(t) = u_j + \int_0^t \cos(jX_s)ds$ and $V_j(t) = v_j + \int_0^t \sin(jX_s)ds$. With these new variables, we obtain

---

1. A function $b$ is said fast decaying if for any $k \geq 0$, there exists $c_k > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|b(x)| \leq c_k/(1 + |x|^k)$.
the following system
\[
\begin{align*}
    dX_t &= dB_t + \sum_{j=1}^{n} j a_j \left( \sin(jX_t) U_j(t) - \cos(jX_t) V_j(t) \right) dt \\
    dU_j(t) &= \cos(jX_t) dt, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n. \\
    dV_j(t) &= \sin(jX_t) dt, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
\] (2.3)

Since for all \( j = 1 \cdots n \), the functions \( x \mapsto \cos(jx) \) and \( x \mapsto \sin(jx) \) are 2\pi-periodic, we can replace \( X_t \) by \( \Theta_t = X_t \pmod{2\pi} \in S^1 \), where \( S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \) denotes the 1-dimensional flat torus. This replacement allows us to use the framework from Benaim and Gauthier (2017).

In order to shorten the notation, we let \( U(t) \) and \( V(t) \) denote the vectors
\[
U(t) = (U_1(t), \ldots, U_n(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad V(t) = (V_1(t), \ldots, V_n(t)).
\]

Summarizing the main results from Benaim and Gauthier (2017), we have

**Theorem 2.2** (Theorem 5 and Theorem 6, Benaim and Gauthier, 2017). Let \((P_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be the semi-group associated to the process \( \left( (\Theta_t, U(t), V(t)) \right)_{t \geq 0} \) and denote by \( P_t((\theta_0, U(0), V(0)), d\theta du dv) \) its transition probability. Then

1. The unique invariant probability measure is
   \[
   \mu(d\theta du dv) = \nu(d\theta) \otimes \frac{e^{-\Phi(u,v)}}{C} du dv,
   \]
   where \( \Phi(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k k^2 (u_k^2 + v_k^2) \), \( C \) is a normalization constant and \( \nu(d\theta) \) is the uniform probability measure on \( S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \).

2. Let \( \mu_t = \mathcal{L}(\Theta_t, U(t), V(t)) \) denote the law of \( (\Theta_t, U(t), V(t)) \). Then for any initial distribution \( \mu_0 \), \( \mu_t \) converges to \( \mu \) in total variation.

3. For every \( \eta > 0 \) and \( g \in L^2(\mu) \)
   \[
   \|P_t g - \int g(\theta, u, v) \mu(d\theta du dv)\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \sqrt{1 + 2\eta} \|g - \int g(\theta, u, v) \mu(d\theta du dv)\|_{L^2(\mu)} e^{-\lambda t},
   \]
   where
   \[
   \lambda = \frac{\eta}{1 + \eta \left( \frac{1}{K_1} + \frac{1}{K_2 + K_3} \right)},
   \]
   with explicit constants \( K_1, K_2 \) and \( K_3 \).

In this paper, we will adopt the same point of view as in Tarres et al. (2012): 
the environment seen from the particle. For that purpose, introduce the following new variables

\[
C_j(t) = U_j(t) \cos(jX_t) + V_j(t) \sin(jX_t) = \begin{pmatrix} U_j(t) \\ V_j(t) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos(jX_t) \\ \sin(jX_t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(2.4)}
\]

and

\[
S_j(t) = \sin(jX_t) U_j(t) - \cos(jX_t) V_j(t) = \begin{pmatrix} U_j(t) \\ V_j(t) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sin(jX_t) \\ -\cos(jX_t) \end{pmatrix}.
\] (2.5)

So, if we denote by \( \eta_t \) the potential viewed from the particle’s position, i.e
\[
\eta_t(x) = \int_0^t F(x + X_s - X_t) ds + G(x + X_t),
\]
then
\[ \eta_t(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \left( C_k(t) \cos(kx) - S_k(t) \sin(kx) \right). \]

Moreover, this allows us to rewrite \( X_t \) as
\[ X_t = B_t + \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_k S_k(u) du = B_t - \int_0^t \varphi(\eta'_u) du, \]
where \( \varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined by \( \varphi(\omega) = \omega(0) \) and \( \Omega \) is the vector space spanned by the functions \( \cos(kx) \) and \( \sin(kx) \) for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, n \).

Before diving into the technical results, let us introduce the following notation. We denote by \( T \) the semigroup induced by the process
\[
(C(t), S(t))_{t \geq 0} := \left((C_1(t), S_1(t)), \ldots, (C_n(t), S_n(t))\right)_{t \geq 0}
\]
and by \( G \) its infinitesimal generator. For an operator \( R \), we denote its domain by \( D(R) \).

Given a probability measure \( \pi \) over \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \), we denote by \( L^2(\pi) \) the space \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \pi) \), by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\pi)} \) the associated inner product and by \( \| \cdot \|_{L^2(\pi)} \) the induced \( L^2 \)-norm.

The dynamic of \( (C(t), S(t))_{t \geq 0} \) is described by applying Itô’s formula to (2.4) and (2.5), which yields
\[
d\begin{pmatrix} C_1(t) \\ S_1(t) \\ C_2(t) \\ S_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ C_n(t) \\ S_n(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -S_1(t) & C_1(t) \\ 2C_2(t) & -2S_2(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -nS_n(t) & nC_n(t) \end{pmatrix} dt + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} C_1(t) \\ S_1(t) \\ 4C_2(t) \\ 4S_2(t) \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \n^2C_n(t) \\ n^2S_n(t) \end{pmatrix} dt + 0 dt.
\]

### Proposition 2.3.

1. For any smooth function \( f \) having compact support, we have
\[
Gf(c, s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 (s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} c_j f + c_j^2 \partial_{s_j} s_j f) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 s_j c_j \partial_{c_j s_j} f \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq j} jk (s_j s_k \partial_{c_j c_k} f + c_j c_k \partial_{s_j s_k} f) - \sum_{k \neq j} jk s_j c_k \partial_{c_j s_k} f \\
+ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_k s_k \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} j (s_j \partial_{c_j} f + c_j \partial_{s_j} f) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 (c_j \partial_{c_j} f + s_j \partial_{s_j} f) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{c_j} f.
\]

2. The process \( (C(t), S(t))_{t \geq 0} \) admits a unique invariant probability measure of the form
\[
\pi(dcds) = \frac{e^{-\Phi(c, s)}}{C} dcds
\]
where $\Phi(c, s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k k^2 (c_k^2 + s_k^2)$ and $C$ is the normalizing constant.

(3) For any function $f \in L^2(\pi)$, we have
\[
\left\| T_t f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(c, s) \pi(\text{d}cds) \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} \leq \sqrt{3} \left\| f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} f(c, s) \pi(\text{d}cds) \right\|_{L^2(\pi)} e^{-\lambda t},
\]
where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \frac{K_1}{1 + K_2 + K_3}$ and the constants $K_1, K_2$ and $K_3$ are those from Theorem 2.2.

Proof:

(1) The result follows from (2.6), Itô’s formula and Revuz and Yor (1999, Propositions VII.1.6 and VII.1.7).

(2) The fact that $\pi(\text{d}cds)$ is an invariant probability measure follows from Theorem 2.2 as well as from the equations (2.4) and (2.5). Indeed, for any $A_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have by rotation invariance of the Gaussian measure

\[
\pi(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n) = \mathbb{P}\left( (C_j(t), S_j(t)) \in A_j \forall j = 1, \ldots, n \right) = \mathbb{P}\left( (U_j(t), V_j(t)) \in A_j \forall j = 1, \ldots, n \right) = \mathbb{P}\left( \Theta_t \in \mathbb{S}^1, (U_j(t), V_j(t)) \in A_j \forall j = 1, \ldots, n \right) = \mu(\mathbb{S}^1 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n)
\]

Concerning the uniqueness, let $\nu$ be an invariant probability measure for the process $((C(t), S(t)))_{t \geq 0}$. Then define on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ the probability measure $\nu_0(\text{d}\theta \text{d}u \text{d}v) = \delta_0 \otimes \nu(\text{d}u \text{d}v)$ and sample $(\Theta_0, U(0), V(0))$ according to $\nu_0$.

By Theorem 2.2, $\nu_t$ converges to $\mu$ in total variation. In particular the marginal law of $\nu_t$ corresponding to $(U(t), V(t))$ converges to $\pi$. Thus $\nu = \pi$.

(3) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and define a function $g : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

\[
g(\theta, u, v) = f(c, s),
\]

where the pairs $(c_j, s_j)$ are defined as in (2.4) and (2.5). Since the evolution of $(C(t), S(t))$ does not depend on the dynamic of $\Theta_t$, we have

\[
T_t f(c, s) = \mathbb{E}\left( f(C(t), S(t)) \mid C(0) = c, S(0) = s \right) = \mathbb{E}\left( f(C(t), S(t)) \mid \Theta_0 = \theta, C(0) = c, S(0) = s \right) = \mathbb{E}\left( f(C(t), S(t)) \mid \Theta_0 = \theta, U(0) = u, V(0) = v \right) = \mathbb{E}\left( g(\Theta_t, U(t), V(t)) \mid \Theta_0 = \theta, U(0) = u, V(0) = v \right) = P_t g(\theta, u, v),
\]

where the pairs $(u_k, v_k)$ are such that $c_k = u_k \cos(k\theta) + v_k \sin(k\theta)$ and $s_k = u_k \sin(k\theta) - v_k \cos(k\theta)$.

The statement follows then from Theorem 2.2 with $\eta = 1$. \qed
Proposition 2.4. Let $K, A$ be the operators defined on smooth functions $f$ with compact support by

\[ Kf(c, s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 (s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} c_j + c_j^2 \partial_{s_j} s_j, f) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 s_j c_j \partial_{c_j} s_j f \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq j} jk (s_j s_k \partial_{c_k} c_j + c_j c_k \partial_{s_k} s_j, f) - \sum_{k \neq j} jk s_j c_k \partial_{c_j} s_k f \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 (c_j \partial_{c_j} f + s_j \partial_{s_j} f) \quad (2.8) \]

and

\[ Af(c, s) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} ka_k s_k \right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} j (s_j \partial_{c_j} f + c_j \partial_{s_j} f) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{c_j} f. \quad (2.9) \]

Then $K$ is symmetric over $L^2(\pi)$, while the operator $A$ is skew-symmetric.

Proof: Let $j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and let $f, g$ be smooth functions with compact support. Then, integrations by parts yield

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int j^2 (s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} c_j + c_j^2 \partial_{s_j} s_j, f) g d\pi - \int j^2 s_j c_j \partial_{c_j} s_j f g d\pi = \frac{1}{2} \int j^2 (s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} c_j + c_j^2 \partial_{s_j} s_j, f) g d\pi - \int j^2 s_j c_j \partial_{c_j} s_j f g d\pi \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int j^4 a_j (c_j^2 + s_j^2) f g d\pi - \int j^2 (c_j \partial_{c_j} g + s_j \partial_{s_j} g) f d\pi - \int j^2 f g d\pi, \quad (2.10) \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int j^2 (c_j \partial_{c_j} f + s_j \partial_{s_j} f) g d\pi = \frac{1}{2} \int j^2 (c_j \partial_{c_j} g + s_j \partial_{s_j} g) f d\pi - \int j^2 f g d\pi \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int j^4 a_j^2 (c_j^2 + s_j^2) f g d\pi, \quad (2.11) \]

\[ \int \partial_{c_j} f g d\pi = - \int \partial_{c_j} g f d\pi + \int j^2 a_j c_j f g d\pi \quad (2.12) \]

and

\[ \int j^2 a_j (-s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} f + s_j c_j \partial_{s_j} f) g d\pi = - \int j^2 a_j (-s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} g + s_j c_j \partial_{s_j} g) f d\pi \\
- \int a_j j^2 c_j f g d\pi \quad (2.13) \]

For $k \neq j$, we have

\[ \int jka_k (-s_j s_k \partial_{c_j} f + s_k c_j \partial_{s_j} f) g d\pi = - \int j^2 a_j (-s_j^2 \partial_{c_j} g + s_j c_j \partial_{s_j} g) f d\pi \quad (2.14) \]
and
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int jk(s_j s_k \partial_{c_j c_k} f + c_j c_k \partial_{s_j s_k} f)g d\pi - \int jk s_j c_k \partial_{c_j s_k} f g d\pi
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int jk(s_j s_k \partial_{c_j c_k} f + c_j c_k \partial_{s_j s_k} f)g d\pi - \int jk s_j c_k \partial_{c_j s_k} f g d\pi
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int jk^3 a_k c_k s_j s_k \partial_{c_j} g f d\pi - \frac{1}{2} \int jk^3 a_j c_j s_j s_k \partial_{c_k} g f d\pi
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int jk^3 a_j s_j c_j c_k \partial_{s_k} g f d\pi - \frac{1}{2} \int jk^3 a_k s_k c_j c_k \partial_{s_j} g f d\pi
\quad (2.15)
\]

Hence
\[
\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \int jk(s_j s_k \partial_{c_j c_k} f + c_j c_k \partial_{s_j s_k} f)g d\pi - \int jk s_j c_k \partial_{c_j s_k} f g d\pi
\]
\[
= \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{2} \int jk(s_j s_k \partial_{c_j c_k} g + c_j c_k \partial_{s_j s_k} g)g d\pi - \int jk s_j c_k \partial_{c_j s_k} g f d\pi
\quad (2.16)
\]

The symmetry of \( K \) follows (2.10), (2.11) and (2.16) while the skew-symmetry of \( A \) is a consequence of (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14). \( \square \)

As a consequence, we obtain the following \textit{Yaglom-reversibility} result.

**Proposition 2.5.** For any smooth functions \( f \), we have \( G^* f(c, s) = JGJf(c, s) \), where \( G^* \) is the adjoint operator of \( G \) in \( L^2(\pi) \) and \( J \) is the operator defined by \( Jf(c, s) = f(-c, -s) \).

In particular, the time-reversed and flipped process \( \left((\tilde{C}(t), \tilde{S}(t))\right)_{t \geq 0} \) has the same distribution as \( \left((C(t), S(t))\right)_{t \geq 0} \), where \( \tilde{C}(t) = -C(-t) \) and \( \tilde{S}(t) = -S(-t) \).

**Proof:** By Proposition 2.4, it suffices to show that \( JKJ = K \) and \( AJA = -A \). By Definition of \( J \), we have \( \partial_{s_j} (Jf)(c, s) = -\partial_{c_j} f(-c, -s) \), \( \partial_{c_k} (Jf)(c, s) = -\partial_{s_k} f(-c, -s) \) and \( \partial_{c_j c_k} (Jf)(c, s) = \partial_{s_j} \partial_{s_k} f(-c, -s) \), \( \partial_{c_j s_k} (Jf)(c, s) = \partial_{c_j} \partial_{s_k} f(-c, -s) \).

Therefore, from (2.8) and (2.9), we get \( JKJf = Kf \) and \( AJAf = -Af \). \( \square \)

We are now in position to prove Theorem 2.1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1:**
Throughout the proof, we let \( g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) denote the functions defined by
\[
g(c, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_k s_k \quad \text{and} \quad h(c, s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k c_k.
\]
By Proposition 2.5 and the arguments of Tarrès et al. (2012, Section 3), $B_t$ and $\int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n k \alpha_k S_k(u)du$ are uncorrelated. Thus

$$E_{\pi}(X_t^2) = t + E_{\pi}\left( \left( \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n k \alpha_k S_k(u)du \right)^2 \right) \quad (2.17)$$

$$= t + 2 \int_0^t (t-u) E_{\pi}\left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k \alpha_k S_k(u)du \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k \alpha_k S_k(0) \right) \right) du. \quad (2.18)$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the third part of Proposition 2.3, $\langle Tu g, g \rangle_{L^2(\pi)}$ decreases exponentially fast to 0. Hence

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{E_{\pi}(X_t^2)}{t} = 1 + \int_0^\infty \langle Tu g, g \rangle_{L^2(\pi)} du : = \sigma^2. \quad (2.19)$$

Now that the existence of $\sigma^2$ is established, let us prove the bounds in (2.1). The lower bound is trivial since it follows from (2.17). In order to establish the upper bound, we follow the arguments presented in Olla (2001) based on the Kipnis-Varadhan’s CLT theorem.

By Proposition 2.4, we have for any smooth function $f$ having compact support

$$\langle Gf, f \rangle_{L^2(\pi)} = \langle Kf, f \rangle_{L^2(\pi)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int \left( \sum_{j=1}^n j (s_j \partial_{c_j} f - c_j \partial_{s_j} f) \right)^2 d\pi. \quad (2.20)$$

Hence, using the notation of Olla (2001), we have

$$\langle Gf, f \rangle_{L^2(\pi)} = -\|f\|_1^2. \quad (2.21)$$

Because

$$\int h(c, s) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n j s_j \partial_{c_j} f - j c_j \partial_{s_j} f \right) d\pi = \int \left( \sum_{j=1}^n j s_j \partial_{c_j} h - j c_j \partial_{s_j} h \right) f d\pi = \int gf d\pi \quad (2.22)$$

it follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\left| \int g f d\pi \right| \leq \|h\|_{L^2(\pi)} \|f\|_1. \quad (2.23)$$

Hence, with the notation of Olla (2001),

$$\|g\|_{-1} \leq \|h\|_{L^2(\pi)} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{d_j}{j^2}}. \quad (2.24)$$

Thus, the upper bound comes from Eq. (2.1.7) in Olla (2001).

The second part of the Theorem is immediate due to the third part of Proposition (2.3).
3. The two-dimensional case

The purpose of this section is to provide an extension to the two-dimensional case. More precisely, let \( X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t)) \) be the solution of the self-repelling diffusion

\[
dX(t) = dB(t) - \left( \nabla G(X(t)) + \int_0^t \nabla F(X(t) - X(s)) ds \right) dt,
\]

where \( B \) is a two-dimensional standard Brownian motion, \( F \) is the interaction potential defined by

\[
F(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n a_{\ell,k} \cos(\ell x_1) \cos(k x_2)
\]

and \( G \) is the initial potential defined by

\[
G(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \left( u_{\ell,1}^k \cos(\ell x_1) \cos(k x_2) + u_{\ell,2}^k \cos(\ell x_1) \sin(k x_2) \right)
+ \sum_{k, \ell=1}^n \left( u_{\ell,3}^k \sin(\ell x_1) \cos(k x_2) + u_{\ell,4}^k \sin(\ell x_1) \sin(k x_2) \right).
\]

The result is then the following.

**Theorem 3.1.**

Let \((X_t)_t\) be the solution of \((3.1)\). Then:

1. \( \sigma^2 := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_\pi (|X_t|^2)}{t} \) exists
2. For any \( 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \infty \), we have

\[
\left( \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}X_{t_1}/\sigma}{\sigma}, \ldots, \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}X_{t_n}/\sigma}{\sigma} \right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0} (W_{t_1}, \ldots, W_{t_n})
\]

under \( \mathbb{P}_\pi \), where \( W \) is a two-dimensional standard Brownian motion.

The proof exactly the same way as for the one-dimensional case, though the computations are much more cumbersome. Therefore, we only present the initial steps.

As for the one-dimensional case, we introduce the following variables

\[
U_{1,\ell,k}(t) = u_{1,\ell,k} + \int_0^t \cos(\ell X_1(s)) \cos(k X_2(s)) ds,
\]

\[
U_{2,\ell,k}(t) = u_{2,\ell,k} + \int_0^t \cos(\ell X_1(s)) \sin(k X_2(s)) ds,
\]

\[
U_{3,\ell,k}(t) = u_{3,\ell,k} + \int_0^t \sin(\ell X_1(s)) \cos(k X_2(s)) ds,
\]

\[
U_{4,\ell,k}(t) = u_{4,\ell,k} + \int_0^t \sin(\ell X_1(s)) \sin(k X_2(s)) ds.
\]
These variables allow us to extend (3.1) into the following standard stochastic differential equation

\[
\begin{align*}
  dX_1(t) &= dB_1(t) \\
  + \sum_{\ell, k=1}^{n} \ell a_{\ell, k} \left( \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{1, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{3, \ell, k}^t \right) dt \\
  + \sum_{\ell, k=1}^{n} \ell a_{\ell, k} \left( \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{2, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{4, \ell, k}^t \right) dt \\
  dX_2(t) &= dB_2(t) \\
  + \sum_{\ell, k=1}^{n} k a_{\ell, k} \left( \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{1, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{2, \ell, k}^t \right) dt \\
  + \sum_{\ell, k=1}^{n} k a_{\ell, k} \left( \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{3, \ell, k}^t - \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{4, \ell, k}^t \right) dt \\
  dU_{1, \ell, k}^t &= \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) dt, \quad \ell, k = 1, \ldots, n. \\
  dU_{2, \ell, k}^t &= \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) dt, \quad \ell, k = 1, \ldots, n. \\
  dU_{3, \ell, k}^t &= \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) dt, \quad \ell, k = 1, \ldots, n. \\
  dU_{4, \ell, k}^t &= \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) dt, \quad \ell, k = 1, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.3)

Adopting the point of view of the particle as in Section 2 (see also Horváth et al., 2012) brings us to introduce the following variables.

\[
\begin{align*}
  C_{1, \ell, k}^t(t) &= \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{1, \ell, k}^t + \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{3, \ell, k}^t + \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{2, \ell, k}^t + \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{4, \ell, k}^t \\
  S_{1, \ell, k}^t(t) &= \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{1, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{3, \ell, k}^t + \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{2, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{4, \ell, k}^t \\
  C_{2, \ell, k}^t(t) &= -\sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{1, \ell, k}^t + \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{2, \ell, k}^t + \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{3, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{4, \ell, k}^t \\
  S_{2, \ell, k}^t(t) &= \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{1, \ell, k}^t - \cos(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{3, \ell, k}^t + \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \sin(k X_2(t)) U_{2, \ell, k}^t - \sin(\ell X_1(t)) \cos(k X_2(t)) U_{4, \ell, k}^t.
\end{align*}
\]

So, if we denote by \(\eta_t\) the potential viewed from the particle’s position, i.e

\[
\eta_t(x) = \int_0^t F(x + X_s - X_s) ds + G(x + X_t),
\]
then
\[ \eta_t(x) = \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{n} a_{\ell,k} \left( \cos(\ell x_1) \cos(k x_2) C_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) - \sin(\ell x_1) \sin(k x_2) C_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \right) \]
\[ - \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{n} a_{\ell,k} \left( \sin(\ell x_1) \cos(k x_2) S_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) + \cos(\ell x_1) \sin(k x_2) S_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \right) \]

and
\[ dX(t) = dB_t - \int_0^t \nabla \eta_s(0) ds. \]

Finally, observe that those new variables solves the following stochastic differential equation
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
C_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
S_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
C_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
S_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)
\end{pmatrix}
= \ell
\begin{pmatrix}
-S_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
C_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
-S_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
C_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)
\end{pmatrix}
\left( dB_1(t) + \sum_{p,j=1}^{n} p a_{p,j} S_{1,1}^{p,j}(t) dt \right) +
\begin{pmatrix}
-S_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
C_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
-S_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
C_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)
\end{pmatrix}
\left( dB_2(t) + \sum_{p,j=1}^{n} j a_{p,j} S_{2,1}^{p,j}(t) dt \right)

- \frac{\ell^2 + k^2}{2}
\begin{pmatrix}
C_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
S_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
C_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t) \\
S_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
dt
\]

and that
\[
C_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 + C_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 + S_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 + S_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 = U_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 + U_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 + V_{1,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2 + V_{2,1}^{\ell,k}(t)^2.
\]

Now that the variables describing the environment viewed from the particle’s framework are introduced, it suffices the steps from Section 2 since Theorem 2.2 admits a 2-dimensional version. Therefore, we will not repeat them.
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