

## Color symmetry breaking in the Potts spin glass

Jean-Christophe Mourrat

Department of Mathematics, ENS Lyon and CNRS, Lyon, France  
E-mail address: [jean-christophe.mourrat@ens-lyon.fr](mailto:jean-christophe.mourrat@ens-lyon.fr)  
URL: <https://perso.ens-lyon.fr/jean-christophe.mourrat>

**Abstract.** The Potts spin glass is an analogue of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model in which each spin can take one of  $\kappa$  possible values, which we interpret as colors. It was suggested in [Bates and Sohn \(2024\)](#) that the order parameter for this model is always invariant with respect to permutations of the colors. We show here that this is false whenever  $\kappa \geq 58$ .

Let  $\kappa \geq 2$  and  $N \geq 1$  be integers, and let  $(g_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$  be independent centered Gaussians of variance 1. The energy function of the Potts spin glass is defined, for every  $\sigma \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}^N$ , by

$$H_N(\sigma) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N g_{ij} \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i = \sigma_j\}}. \quad (0.1)$$

The associated free energy at inverse temperature  $\beta \geq 0$  is

$$F_N(\beta) := \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}^N} \exp(\beta H_N(\sigma)). \quad (0.2)$$

For  $\kappa = 2$ , the Potts spin glass essentially coincides with the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model ([Sherrington and Kirkpatrick, 1975](#)). Indeed, for  $\kappa = 2$  we may as well consider that  $\sigma$  ranges in  $\{-1, 1\}^N$ , and for every  $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^N$  and  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , we can write

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i = \sigma_j\}} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i \sigma_j + 1),$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^N} \exp(\beta H_N(\sigma)) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^N} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i,j=1}^N g_{ij} \sigma_i \sigma_j\right). \quad (0.3)$$

The right-hand side of the display above is the free energy of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model at inverse temperature  $\beta/2$ .

The asymptotic behavior of the free energy of the Potts spin glass has been obtained in [Panchenko \(2018b\)](#). The analysis proceeds by first identifying the asymptotics of the free energy restricted to

---

*Received by the editors September 20th, 2024; accepted March 10th, 2025.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 82D30.

Key words and phrases. spin glass, symmetry breaking, Potts model.

configurations with a prescribed proportion of each color. To be precise, let

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ (d_1, \dots, d_\kappa) \in [0, 1]^\kappa \mid \sum_{k=1}^\kappa d_k = 1 \right\},$$

and for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let

$$\Sigma_N(d, \varepsilon) := \left\{ \sigma \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}^N \mid \text{for every } k \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}, \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i=k\}} - d_k \right| \leq \varepsilon \right\},$$

$$F_N(\beta, d, \varepsilon) := \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N(d, \varepsilon)} \exp(\beta H_N(\sigma)).$$

We also define, for every  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\Pi_d := \left\{ \pi : [0, 1] \rightarrow S_+^\kappa \mid \pi \text{ is left-continuous, non-decreasing,} \right. \\ \left. \pi(0) = 0, \text{ and } \pi(1) = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_\kappa) \right\}, \tag{0.4}$$

where  $S_+^\kappa$  denotes the set of  $\kappa$ -by- $\kappa$  positive semidefinite matrices. In (0.4), we say that the path  $\pi$  is non-decreasing to mean that for every  $u \leq v \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\pi(v) - \pi(u) \in S_+^\kappa$ .

**Theorem 0.1** (Panchenko, 2018b). *There exists an explicit functional  $\mathcal{P}_\beta : \bigcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \Pi_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(\beta, d, \varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(\beta, d, \varepsilon) = \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_d} \mathcal{P}_\beta(\pi).$$

As a consequence,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} F_N(\beta) = \sup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi_d} \mathcal{P}_\beta(\pi). \tag{0.5}$$

The reference Panchenko (2018b) provides us with an explicit description of the functional  $\mathcal{P}_\beta$  as an infimum over an additional parameter denoted by  $\lambda$  there. For the purposes of this note, we will only need an upper bound on  $\mathcal{P}_\beta$  which is obtained by selecting  $\lambda = 0$ , and we will only need this upper bound on very simple (replica-symmetric) paths.

The definition of the Potts spin glass is clearly invariant under permutations of the  $\kappa$  different values that a spin can take. We interpret these different values as colors. We say that the Potts spin glass (at inverse temperature  $\beta$ ) *preserves the color symmetry* if the supremum over  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  in (0.5) is achieved at  $d = (\frac{1}{\kappa}, \dots, \frac{1}{\kappa})$ , and if moreover, the infimum over  $\pi \in \Pi_{(\frac{1}{\kappa}, \dots, \frac{1}{\kappa})}$  in (0.5) is achieved at a path  $\pi$  that is color-symmetric (in other words, for each  $u \in [0, 1]$ , the diagonal entries of  $\pi(u)$  are all the same, and the non-diagonal entries of  $\pi(u)$  are all the same). Otherwise, we say that the Potts spin glass *breaks the color symmetry*. The main result of Bates and Sohn (2024) is that the infimum over  $\pi \in \Pi_{(\frac{1}{\kappa}, \dots, \frac{1}{\kappa})}$  in (0.5) is always achieved at a path  $\pi$  that is color-symmetric. In particular, we could equivalently say that the Potts spin glass (at inverse temperature  $\beta$ ) preserves the color symmetry if the supremum over  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  in (0.5) is achieved at  $d = (\frac{1}{\kappa}, \dots, \frac{1}{\kappa})$ . It was suggested in Bates and Sohn (2024) that the Potts spin glass always preserves the color symmetry. We postpone a more precise discussion of the literature and first show that this conjecture is false when  $\kappa$  is sufficiently large.

**Theorem 0.2** (Color symmetry breaking). *For every  $N \geq 1$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , we have*

$$F_N(\beta) \geq \left( \frac{N-1}{N} \right)^{3/2} \frac{2\beta}{3\sqrt{\pi}}, \tag{0.6}$$

and

$$\inf_{\pi \in \Pi_{(\frac{1}{\kappa}, \dots, \frac{1}{\kappa})}} \mathcal{P}_\beta(\pi) \leq \log \kappa + \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa}. \quad (0.7)$$

In particular, the claim that  $F_N(\beta)$  converges to the left-hand side of (0.7) is false as soon as

$$\frac{\kappa}{\log \kappa} > \frac{9\pi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{2\kappa} \beta - 1 \right|^2 < 1 - \frac{9\pi \log \kappa}{2\kappa}. \quad (0.8)$$

*Proof:* We start with the proof of (0.6). By restricting the summation on  $\sigma \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}^N$  to a summation over  $\sigma \in \{1, 2\}^N$  in the definition of  $F_N(\beta)$  in (0.2), we see that the term on the left side of (0.3) is a lower bound for  $F_N(\beta)$ . A simple lower bound for the term on the right side of (0.3) can be found in Dominguez and Mourrat (2024, Exercises 6.1 and 6.3 and solutions), and this yields (0.6).

As announced, for the proof of (0.7) we only need to consider a very special path, and we fix the additional parameter  $\lambda$  appearing in Panchenko (2018b) to be zero. We choose the path  $\pi$  to be constant equal to 0 over  $[0, 1)$ . In the notation of Panchenko (2018b), this corresponds to the case of  $r = 1$ ,  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_0 = 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\kappa}, \dots, \frac{1}{\kappa})$ . Letting  $(z_1, \dots, z_\kappa)$  be independent centered Gaussians of variance 1, we find that

$$\mathcal{P}_\beta(\pi) \leq \log \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \exp \left( \beta \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}} z_k \right) - \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa} = \log \kappa + \frac{\beta^2}{2\kappa},$$

which is (0.7). The last part of the theorem follows by identifying the region in which the right-hand side of (0.6) exceeds the right-hand side of (0.7).  $\square$

The condition in (0.8) is non-empty as soon as  $\kappa \geq 58$ . I made no attempt to obtain sharp bounds. In particular, the argument for (0.6) yields that the large- $N$  limit of  $F_N(\beta)$  is bounded from below by  $\beta/2$  times the maximum of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick energy function, which is expected to be about  $\sqrt{2} \times 0.763 \dots$  (see Crisanti and Rizzo, 2002; El Alaoui and Montanari, 2020; Schmidt, 2008; the extra  $\sqrt{2}$  accounts for a difference in the choice of normalization). Using this bound instead, we find that color symmetry breaking occurs as soon as the number of colors  $\kappa$  is at least 21.

By analogy with the non-disordered version of the Potts model, one may expect that, at least for sufficiently large values of  $\kappa$ , the range of  $\beta$ 's at which color symmetry is broken is unbounded. The bound (0.7) is however too crude to allow us to obtain this. By reasoning as in Dominguez and Mourrat (2024, Exercise 6.3 and solution), one can see that for every  $N \geq 1$ ,  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$F_N(\beta, d, \varepsilon) = \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N(d, \varepsilon)} H_N(\sigma) + O(1) \quad (\beta \rightarrow +\infty),$$

so the bound in (0.7) does not even capture the correct asymptotic behavior of this quantity as  $\beta$  tends to infinity, as it incorrectly scales like  $\beta^2$  instead of scaling like  $\beta$ . There is clearly a lot of room to improve upon (0.7).

We now briefly review previous works on the topic. Most works in the physics literature also allow for the couplings  $(g_{ij})$  to have a bias. In order to discuss this while keeping consistent notation, we thus define a more general version of the free energy by setting, for every  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F_N(\beta, \gamma) := \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}^N} \exp \left( \beta H_N(\sigma) + \frac{\beta \gamma}{N} \sum_{i, j=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma_i = \sigma_j\}} \right). \quad (0.9)$$

In the papers Elderfield and Sherrington (1983a,b), the authors restrict their analysis to the case  $\gamma \leq \gamma_F(\kappa)$ , where  $\gamma_F(\kappa)$  is “the critical mean exchange for the highest-temperature transition to be to a ferromagnetic state”. In Elderfield and Sherrington (1983c), they announce a full resolution

of the phase diagram in  $(\beta, \gamma)$  (also allowing for an external field); I cannot extract from there a precise condition on  $(\beta, \gamma)$  that would guarantee color symmetry, but they say that this requires to take  $\gamma < 0$  for  $\kappa > 4$ , citing Lage and Erzan (1983). A concurrent work is Erzan and Lage (1983), which focuses on the case  $\gamma = 0$ ; the authors postulate color symmetry there, but they quickly correct this in Lage and Erzan (1983) and propose a more sophisticated solution that is not color-symmetric for  $\kappa > 4$ . In Gross et al. (1985), we read that “an appropriate nonzero value of  $\gamma$  must be chosen Elderfield and Sherrington (1983a,c,b)” (notation and pointers adapted). In De Santis et al. (1995), the authors state that “ferromagnetic order is always preferred for  $\kappa > 2$  for sufficiently low temperature”, they cite Elderfield and Sherrington (1983c), and they give a formula for the transition temperature which they denote by  $T_F$ . They specify that the transition is to a “colinear ferromagnet”, which in the language of Elderfield and Sherrington (1983c) is a phase in which only one color displays a non-zero overlap (in particular, this phase is not color-symmetric). They then say that “In the special case  $\gamma = 0$  the ferromagnetic transition appears below  $T = 1$  for  $\kappa < 4$  and above that temperature for  $\kappa > 4$ . Our main interest in this paper is the study of the spin-glass transition. In order not to observe the ferromagnetic transition it will be necessary to add an antiferromagnetic coupling in the case  $\kappa > 4$ .” (notation for  $\gamma$  and  $\kappa$  adapted). For their numerical simulations, they chose  $\gamma = \frac{4-\kappa}{2\sqrt{2}}$  for  $\kappa \geq 4$  and found it suitable to their stated needs. Similar statements can also be found in Caltagirone et al. (2012), although with a different formula for the transition temperature  $T_F$ , the existence of which is attributed to Gross et al. (1985) there.

The recent paper Bates and Sohn (2024) suggests that color symmetry is preserved for  $\gamma = 0$  and arbitrary values of  $\kappa$  and  $\beta$ . As we have seen, this is invalid at least for  $\kappa \geq 58$ . The authors of Bates and Sohn (2024) attribute this color-symmetry prediction to Elderfield and Sherrington (1983a). My own reading of the physics literature is different, as explained in the previous paragraph. The work Bates and Sohn (2024) inspired Chen (2024), in which color symmetry is shown for all  $\beta$  with the choice of  $\gamma = -\frac{\beta}{2}$ . The results of Chen (2024) have been generalized in Issa (2024) to a broader class of models. One may also consult Chen and Mourrat (2025); Panchenko (2018a) for results on the asymptotics of the free energy of more general spin-glass models, and Costeniuc et al. (2005); Ellis and Wang (1992) for a thorough study of the non-disordered mean-field Potts model. We also note that Sen (2018) establishes a connection between the maximum  $\kappa$ -cut of an Erdős-Rényi random graph with average degree  $d$  and the maximal energy of the balanced Potts spin glass, in the regime of large  $d$ .

## References

- Bates, E. and Sohn, Y. Parisi formula for balanced Potts spin glass. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **405** (10), Paper No. 228, 68 (2024). [MR4797739](#).
- Caltagirone, F., Parisi, G., and Rizzo, T. Dynamical critical exponents for the mean-field Potts glass. *Phys. Rev. E*, **85** (5), 051504 (2012). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.85.051504](#).
- Chen, H.-B. On Parisi measures of Potts spin glasses with correction. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, **29**, Paper No. 35, 13 (2024). [MR4781870](#).
- Chen, H.-B. and Mourrat, J.-C. On the free energy of vector spin glasses with nonconvex interactions. *Probab. Math. Phys.*, **6** (1), 1–80 (2025). [MR4848391](#).
- Costeniuc, M., Ellis, R. S., and Touchette, H. Complete analysis of phase transitions and ensemble equivalence for the Curie-Weiss-Potts model. *J. Math. Phys.*, **46** (6), 063301, 25 (2005). [MR2149837](#).
- Crisanti, A. and Rizzo, T. Analysis of the  $\infty$ -replica symmetry breaking solution of the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model. *Phys. Rev. E* (3), **65** (4), 046137, 9 (2002). [MR1918001](#).
- De Santis, E., Parisi, G., and Ritort, F. On the static and dynamical transition in the mean-field Potts glass. *J. Phys. A*, **28** (11), 3025–3041 (1995). [MR1344105](#).

- Dominguez, T. and Mourrat, J.-C. *Statistical mechanics of mean-field disordered systems—a Hamilton-Jacobi approach*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. EMS Press, Berlin (2024). ISBN 978-3-98547-074-7; 978-3-98547-574-2. [MR4758104](#).
- El Alaoui, A. and Montanari, A. Algorithmic thresholds in mean field spin glasses. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (2020). [arXiv: 2009.11481](#).
- Elderfield, D. and Sherrington, D. The curious case of the Potts spin glass. *J. Phys. C*, **16** (15), L497 (1983a). [DOI: 10.1088/0022-3719/16/15/003](#).
- Elderfield, D. and Sherrington, D. Novel non-ergodicity in the Potts spin glass. *J. Phys. C*, **16** (32), L1169 (1983b). [DOI: 10.1088/0022-3719/16/32/006](#).
- Elderfield, D. and Sherrington, D. Spin glass, ferromagnetic and mixed phases in the disordered Potts model. *J. Phys. C*, **16** (27), L971 (1983c). [DOI: 10.1088/0022-3719/16/27/005](#).
- Ellis, R. S. and Wang, K. Limit theorems for maximum likelihood estimators in the Curie-Weiss-Potts model. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **40** (2), 251–288 (1992). [MR1158026](#).
- Erzan, A. and Lage, E. J. S. The infinite-ranged Potts spin glass model. *J. Phys. C*, **16** (17), L555 (1983). [DOI: 10.1088/0022-3719/16/17/002](#).
- Gross, D. J., Kanter, I., and Sompolinsky, H. Mean-field theory of the Potts glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **55** (3), 304–307 (1985). [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.304](#).
- Issa, V. Existence and uniqueness of permutation-invariant optimizers for Parisi formula. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (2024). [arXiv: 2407.13846](#).
- Lage, E. J. S. and Erzan, A. Mixed phase for the infinite-range Potts spin glass. *J. Phys. C*, **16** (24), L873 (1983). [DOI: 10.1088/0022-3719/16/24/001](#).
- Panchenko, D. Free energy in the mixed  $p$ -spin models with vector spins. *Ann. Probab.*, **46** (2), 865–896 (2018a). [MR3773376](#).
- Panchenko, D. Free energy in the Potts spin glass. *Ann. Probab.*, **46** (2), 829–864 (2018b). [MR3773375](#).
- Schmidt, M. J. *Replica symmetry breaking at low temperatures*. Ph.D. thesis, Universität Würzburg (2008).
- Sen, S. Optimization on sparse random hypergraphs and spin glasses. *Random Structures Algorithms*, **53** (3), 504–536 (2018). [MR3854043](#).
- Sherrington, D. and Kirkpatrick, S. Solvable Model of a Spin-Glass. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **35** (26), 1792–1796 (1975). [DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1792](#).